

INDUSTRY ADVISORY – Enterprise Bargaining (Vol 1, 2023)

The purpose of an Industry Advisory is to provide APTIA members with an understanding of industrial issues which may impact upon their businesses.

Following the passing into legislation, on 12 December 2022, of the "Fair Work Legislation Amendment (Secure Jobs, Better Pay) Act 2022", a new system of enterprise bargaining was introduced. The new system now provides for multiple industry bargaining.

Multiple bargaining is not due to commence until 6 June 2023. This does not prevent members from considering their position as their agreements expire.

Set out in this advisory is a simple explanatory matrix of how the new systems will work.

Operator members of APTIA will perhaps fall into one of these categories:

- "Employer A" has an enterprise agreement in place, which has been renegotiated several times and has not exceeded its nominal expiry date. Whilst Employer A's workplace has trade union representation it does not wish to become involved in a multiple industry agreement, even when its current agreement expires.
- "Employer B" has in place an expired enterprise agreement in place, which has been negotiated several times. Operator B has employees with Union membership and its workforce does wish to become part of the multiple industry agreement.
- "Employer C" does not have an enterprise agreement in place, but its employees do wish to become part of a multiple industry agreement. There is limited trade union representation.
- "Employer D" is happy to negotiate with the trade union for a co-operative agreement, for which the trade union is also agreeable to negotiate an agreement.















Employers A & B & C & D operate in the public transport sector, under passenger transport service contracts with a single regulator. The terms and conditions of the contracts are similar or identical.

There is a history in the urban regions, particularly, of industry negotiations with trade unions.

The new legislation raises interpretation issues, which will require the FWC to make decisions with respect to a range of matters, including:

- What constitutes an 'identifiable common interest' or 'comparable interest' in determining whether one or more employers should become parties to a multiple agreement bargaining?
- What is the nature of the 'public interest' test that applies to make an authorization to join employers to a multiple agreement bargain?
- To what extent does there have to be trade union representation for an authorization to be made and is that trade union membership limited to employees entitled to be represented by the specific trade union?
- Does the FWC intend to issue a 'statement of principles' and how prescriptive will the FWC be in determining whether the employees have sufficient knowledge of the terms of an agreement and are satisfied that the appropriate mix of employees have genuinely agreed to the agreement.
- In making an 'intractable bargaining' order will the FWC take a 'winner takes all' approach or will they take a 'middle of the road' approach when deciding which arguments to accept from the parties?
- How will the FWC determine whether an employer has deliberately sought to avoid a multiple bargain or has genuinely sought to negotiate for a single agreement with the workforce?
- How will the FWC apply the common interest test differently, at all, in relation to SBs and SIAs. What makes a SB different from an SIA? Is it the nature of the wages because the SB was formerly a 'low paid' procedure?













ENTERPRISE AGREEMENTS – A detailed comparison

	Single Enterprise	Supportive Bargaining	Single Interest Employer	Co-operative Workplace
	Agreement (SEA)	Agreement (SB)	Agreement (SIE)	Agreement (CWA)
Eligibility and variations (Roping in)	The SEA is the traditional method of enterprise bargaining between an employer and employee. It is still possible for an employer to agree with their workforce to bargain for a single enterprise agreement.	The SB replaces the previous 'low paid bargaining' agreements in which industries could seek to negotiate as an industry with the Ministers approval. Subject to the exceptions and to the 'common interest' test all employers may be eligible either to become part of the original application or to be roped in once their existing agreements expired, provided the employees agree. Eligibility is not clear as it may apply to employers who are not franchises, subsidiaries but who have a clear common interest.	The SIE appears to broaden the concept of a single interest employer and a SIE authorization may be more appropriate for the industry and more likely to be made by the FWC. The eligibility test to determine a common interest will include the geographical location of the businesses, the regulatory regime, the nature of the enterprises and the terms and conditions of employment. Some of the employees must also be represented by the relevant trade union.	The CWA will apply when both the employers and employees along with their trade union representative agree to bargain without the ability to seek the intervention of the FWC or to take protected action.
Exclusions for types of agreement	An employer would be excluded from negotiating for a SEA if they were the subject of an application to vary an existing multiple agreement or were the subject of an authorization from FWC. This would be the case if the current SEA had expired its nominal expiry date and they were not engaged in a genuine attempt to negotiate a new agreement.	Employers would be excluded if their current single enterprise agreements have not expired, and their current agreements were not entered into with a view to avoiding an SB. In any event the existing workforce, who are eligible to vote, would have to approve the commencement of bargaining.	If an employer has less than 20 employees or there is an existing single enterprise agreement in place or the parties are bargaining for a new single interest agreement, having a history of bargaining and a 9 month period has not elapsed from the previously expired agreement or the employees do not wish to participate then exclusions apply. The FWC must also be satisfied there is a common interest and a comparable purpose for an Employer to included. If	The most relevant exclusion to the making of such a CWA would be the employer or the employee or the employees' trade union simply not agreeing to the process. In any event the employee must still vote in favour of any final agreement.













				moving people
			an employer has over 50 employees a presumption will exist that the criteria is	
			met.	
Initiating	A bargaining representative or a	Bargaining is initiated when the FWC	Bargaining for a SIE is the same as a SB	To initiate bargaining the employer and
bargaining	trade union representative can	makes a SB authorization on the	in that an application can only be made	employees make a joint application to the
	now make a written request to an	application of an employer, trade union	by an employer, a bargaining	FWC for authorization to negotiate and
	employer to commence	or bargaining agent. To do so the FWC	representative or a trade union who	implement a new agreement.
	bargaining provided the request	must be satisfied that there is a 'clearly	would represent some of the employees.	
	is to replace a previously expired	identifiable common interest' which	It would be easiest for both the	
	agreement inside of 5 years from	looks at the geographical location of the	employer and other parties to agree to an	
	the nominal expiry date of the	businesses, pay and conditions, how the	authorization being made to avoid a	
	agreement. It will still be	business is funded and whether there is	hearing as to whether the criteria has	
	necessary to issue a NERR and	trade union representation.	been met.	
	for employer to be able to meet	It is untested as to what constitutes 'an	No doubt until such time as the FWC	
	the existing criteria for approval.	identifiable common interest' and	has determined some of the basic	
		whether an authorization would be	criteria to making an authorization it	
		granted in a set of circumstances where	will be challenging to employers to	
		the employers compete against each	decide to support an application or	
		other in a tender arrangement or where	otherwise.	
		high wages are paid.		
Bargaining	Once negotiations have	Once an authorization is granted the	Once an SIE authorization is granted	Whilst the bargaining for a CWA is
0 0	commenced the employer will be	parties to the original application will	the parties to the original application	required to involve the principles of fair
	required to meet series of	have to bargain in good faith for an SB,	will have to bargain in good faith for	bargaining principles there is no
	obligations known as a	be subject to orders of the FWC if they	an SIE, be subject to orders of the	mechanism whereby the FWC will
	'statement of principles' which	don't and to be prevented from entering	FWC if they don't and to be prevented	intervene to make bargaining orders.
	will replace the current onerous	a SEA once the authorization is made.	from entering a SIE once the	
	obligations and require at least	The statement of principles will apply to	authorization is made. The statement of	
	an employer to provide adequate	the employers who are party to the	principles will apply to the employers	
	explanations of the agreement, to	negotiations. In the case of an SB a	who are party to the negotiations. In	
	only require relevant employees	potential agreement can only be put to a	the case of an SIE a potential	
	to vote on the approval.	vote if the trade union agrees or in its	agreement can only be put to a vote if	
			the trade union agrees or in its absence	













	I			moving people
		absence the FWC has made a 'voting	the FWC has made a 'voting request	
		request order'.	order'.	
Protected	Protected action is taken similar	Protected action is possible during the	Protected action is possible during the	Protected action is not available for a
Action	to previously where approval of	SB negotiations and this occurs when all	SIE negotiations and this occurs when	CWA which will only usually be utilized
11001011	the workforce is required. 3 full	participant businesses vote for such	all participant businesses vote for such	in circumstances where there is
	days are needed, unless special	action. There is now a mandatory 5 day	action. There is now a mandatory 5 day	agreement between employers and their
	circumstances exist to extend the	notice period between notification of	notice period between notification of	representatives. Multiple bargaining is
	time, before action can be taken	action and the action. Prior to the issue	action and the action. Prior to the issue	available.
	and an order will not be made	of a PABO the FWC will require the	of a PABO the FWC will require the	
	unless the parties have attended	parties to attend an conciliation hearing	parties to attend a conciliation hearing	
	upon the FWC for a (s. 240)	to seek resolve to the issues.	to seek resolve to the issues.	
	conciliation meeting.			
Intractable	If the parties have engaged in	If the parties have engaged in good faith	If the parties have engaged in good faith	An intractable bargaining order is not
Bargaining	good faith bargaining for over 9	bargaining for over 9 months and the	bargaining for over 9 months and the	available for a CWA.
	months and the FWC is satisfied	FWC is satisfied after conducting a final	FWC is satisfied after conducting a final	
	after conducting a final	conciliation hearing that there is an	conciliation hearing that there is an	
	conciliation hearing that there is	intractable bargaining dispute the FWC	intractable bargaining dispute the FWC	
	an intractable bargaining dispute	can determine the dispute by either	can determine the dispute by either	
	the FWC can determine the	seeking further negotiations or	seeking further negotiations or	
	dispute by either seeking further	arbitrating an outcome to finalize the	arbitrating an outcome to finalize the	
	negotiations or arbitrating an	negotiations. The concept of an	negotiations.	
	outcome to finalize the	'intractable bargaining order' replaces		
	negotiations.	the concept of seeking an order to		
		terminate the protected action which		
		would lead to a determination by the		
		FWC of the agreement.		
Variations	The process for an SEA is the	A variation off a SB has the effect of	A variation for an SIEA is similar the	The same principles apply to the
to an	same as before. A variation to an	joining additional employers to the	SB process except the criteria appears	variations of a CWA in which another
agreement	agreement is possible with the	terms of an agreement. An application	broader and more detailed.	employer is roped in. In this case however
ugi coment	majority support of the	can be made by an employer, a	A variation to an agreement will only	the only criteria is agreement by the
	workplace and the recognized	bargaining representative or a trade	occur in circumstances where the FWC	workplace and the meeting of the public
		union, entitled to represent members in	is satisfied that the incoming employer	interest test.













process of notice, explanation and voting takes place.

Note for all agreements the FWC can now make their own determination as to who should conduct the ballot to take protected action once a PABO is made. There is no mechanism for roping in other employers to the agreement, although subsidiary employers of the same organization can be part of the SEA.

the workplace to the FWC which is able to make a variation if it is satisfied that it is appropriate taking into account the prevailing pay and conditions within the industry, and the factors considered when issuing the original authorization, including the existence of a common interest and that those employees who will be covered by the variation, if the application is jointly made, a majority of them vote for it, or if the application is made by an employee organization, they want to be covered by the agreement.

has a 'common interest' with, and operations reasonably comparable to, the other employers already party to the agreement, which will be presumed, provided an employer has 50 employees or more, otherwise the parties will be required to prove otherwise. The FWC also needs to be satisfied that those employees, who will be covered by the variation, have, if the application is made jointly, the variation has been genuinely agree to by them, or if the application is made by an employee organization, a majority of the employees want to be covered by it.

Approval Process and the BOOT

The approval process has not changed for a SEA. The FWC will apply the 'statement of principles' when assessing the application.

The BOOT changes in that the FWC will not need to take a line by line approach to whether an agreement meets the BOOT but will take a more global approach to be satisfied that a class of employees would be better off given the current operating circumstances of the business. Note that a change in circumstances leading to a loss of

With an SB it is not necessary to follow the formal processes. The FWC will issue a 'statement of principles' which will apply to the application for approval whereby the FWC will need to be satisfied that the employer has undertaken a process which satisfies the FWC that the employees have genuinely agreed to the proposed agreement i.e. the employees have a sufficient interest in the agreement, sufficient knowledge of its terms, are sufficiently representative of the workforce and have negotiations have taken place in good faith.

With an SIE as with the SB it is not necessary to follow the formal processes of an SEA The FWC will issue a 'statement of principles' which will apply to the application for approval whereby the FWC will need to be satisfied that the employer has undertaken a process which satisfies the FWC that the employees have genuinely agreed to the proposed agreement i.e. the employees have a sufficient interest in the agreement, sufficient knowledge of its terms, are sufficiently representative of the workforce and have negotiations have taken place in good faith.

The approval process for a CWA is the same as the other multiple agreements including the FWC being satisfied that the statement of principles had been adhered to and that the relevant employees had genuinely agreed to the agreement.













benefits will enable the FWC to make orders to vary the agreement to enable the BOOT to be maintained.

The BOOT has changed to allow for a more global approach to assessment. However, at any time during the agreement the employer if circumstances change and the employees are deemed worse off then a bargaining agent or trade union representative can bring an action to amend the agreement with respect to that employer in which circumstances have changed.

The BOOT has changed to allow for a more global approach to assessment. However, at any time during the agreement the employer if circumstances change and the employees are deemed worse off, a bargaining agent or trade union representative can bring an action to amend the agreement with respect to that employer in which circumstances have changed.

20 January 2023











